Canada-Ontario Agreement on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health

2014



Canada-Ontario Agreement on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health, 2014 (COA)

> Nutrient Annex Committee Science Subcommittee

> > February 14, 2017





2014

The **COA Nutrient Annex Committee** (NAC) is responsible for implementing COA Annex 1 - Nutrients including developing the **Canada-Ontario Action Plan for Lake Erie** that will outline how we will work collaboratively with our partners to meet phosphorus load reduction targets and reduce algal blooms in Lake Erie.

Science Subcommittee

- Subcommittee under COA NAC was directed to compile and assess existing data and information to characterize geographic areas within the Canadian side of the Lake Erie basin
- Includes staff from 5 federal and provincial agencies





Background

Canada-Ontario Agreement on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health

2014

COA NAC Science Subcommittee:

- Pamela Joosse, Natalie Feisthauer
 - Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC)
- Jody McKenna, Brad Bass
 - Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC)
- Mary Thorburn, Ted Briggs, Pradeep Goel, Matt Uza, Cheriene Vieira
 - Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Changes (MOECC)
- Dorienne Cushman
 - Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (OMAFRA)
- Jenn Richards, Tom MacDougall
 - Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF)





Data provided through partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Environment and Climate Change Canada and Ontario Ministries of the Environment and Climate Change, Natural Resources and Forestry and Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs. The map shown is for reference purposes only and while every effort has been made for accuracy it is not guaranteed to be accurate, correct or complete. The Canadian and Ontario governments will accept no liability for consequential and indirect damages arising from the use of these maps.

Lake Erie Basin Characterization

2014

Quaternary watersheds in the Canadian Lake Erie basin were characterized according to the Canadian basin-wide distribution of distinguishing land cover/activities from which different sources and characteristics of P loss can be inferred

- Quaternary watersheds within the Lake Erie basin were characterized by the following land cover/land activity categories:
 - Natural Heritage
 - Urban
 - Agriculture Crop
 - Agriculture Livestock
- Landscape characteristics that could render a watershed more susceptible to P loss were also identified
 - Risk of soil erosion, high potential for runoff
- Environmental state parameters were also identified
 - Water quality





Canada



Lake Erie Quaternary Watersheds



Data provided through partnership with Agriculture and Agric-Food Canada, Environment and Climate Change Canada and Ontario Ministries of the Environment and Climate Change, Natural Resources and Forestry and Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs. The map shown is for reference purposes only and while every effort has been made for accuracy it is not guaranteed to be accurate, correct or complete. The Canadian and Ontario governments will accept no liability for consequential and indirect damages arising from the use of these maps.

Watershed Characterization Process

- Why characterize by quaternary watersheds?
 - Uniform biophysical scale that can be rolled up into management units
- Evaluated available datasets and selected only those that are:
 - Available basin-wide
 - Comparable among all quaternary watersheds
 - Directly related to land use/activity or landscape vulnerability categories
 - Unique (not redundant with other datasets)
 - High quality (data consistently collected and subjected to QA/QC procedures)
 - Recent (2008 or later)
- Based on these criteria, 35 out of over 100 possible parameters from datasets from 5 federal and provincial government agencies were selected for further consideration in the characterization process





2014

Watershed Characterization Process

For each of the 35 parameters:

 Created 3 classes (high, medium, low) for each parameter based on the distribution ("clustering") of the data among all 65 Lake Erie watersheds using statistical methods



- High, medium and low classes represent how the *data were distributed for any given parameter across the basin*
- Pre-determined thresholds were used for 3 parameters:
 - water quality, % natural heritage cover and risk of soil erosion





Watershed Characterization Process

2014

Assigning Watersheds to Categories

- Reviewed parameters to determine:
 - Those most directly related to land use/activity categories
 - Those most descriptive or distinguishing so they can be used as criteria to assign watersheds to categories
- Reduced the original "short-list" of 35 parameters to 13
- All watersheds that fell into the "H" (high) class for the selected parameters were included in a given land use/activity category



NOTE: Categorization of a watershed does not mean it only has or is dominated by that land use/activity (or landscape feature/environmental state); if a watershed is in a category it means it falls into the high end of the parameter distribution across the Lake Erie basin

• There can still be varying levels of urban, agriculture types or natural heritage in each categorized watershed as well as landscape vulnerabilities and environmental states





Canada-Ontario Agreement

on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health



Canada-Ontario Agreement

on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health

Watershed Characterization Results

| Land Use/Activity Category | Parameters Used | Thresholds |
|---|---|---|
| Urban | % of watershed area in urban land use Total urban PS load Total urban NPS load % of total watershed load from urban PS % of total watershed load from urban NPS | > 13% > 10.9 t P > 4.84 t P > 27% > 10% |
| Lake St. Clair Watersheds DIRECT: ST. CLAIR RIVER 12. 2GG-01: Upper St. Clair River 13. 2GG-02: Lower St. Clair River DIRECT: LAKE ST. CLAIR 14. 2GG-03: Lower L. St. Clair Tribs 5. 2GH-01: Rive Cr Puce R. 7. 2GH-03: Belle River 8. 2GH-02: Ruscom River INDIRECT: LAKE ST. CLAIR 15. 2GG-06: Lower N. Syndenham River 16. 2GG-04: Upper L.St. Clair Tribs 17. 2GG-07: Bear Creek 18. 2GG-05: East Syndenham River LOWER THAMES RIVER WATERSHED DIRECT: LAKE ST. CLAIR 22. 2GE-01: Lower Thames R. INDIRECT I LAKE ST. CLAIR 19. 2GE-02: Big Creek 20. 2GE-03: Jeanette Creek | NDIRECT: LAKE ST. CLAIR UPPER THAMES RIVER WATERSHED 23. 2GE-05: Oxbow Creek 24. 2GE-06: Dingham Creek 31. 2GD-07: Fish - Flat Creeks 32. 2GD-06: Medway River 33. 2GD-03: N. Thames River 34. 2GD-11: Whit Creek 35. 2GD-10: Black Creek 35. 2GD-04: Reyno River 32. 2GD-08: Trout Creek 33. 2GD-08: Trout Creek 33. 2GD-08: Trout Creek 33. 2GD-08: Trout Creek 33. 2GD-08: Nitidle Thames River 41. 2GD-01: S. Thames River 42. 2GD-01: S. Thames River 43. 2GC-01: Diver GRAID RIVER 44. 2GD-01: S. Thames River 44. 2GD-01: S. Thames River 45. 2GC-01: Diver GRAID RIVER 46. 2GC-02: BIJ RIVER 47. 2GD-01: SI Thames RIVER 47. 2GD-01: SI Thames | Attersheds BASIN ATERSHED and River er River k VATERSHED BASIN eek Creek River SIN and River |
| Western Basin Watersheds DIRECT: DETROIT RIVER 1. 2GH-11: Fighting Island 3. 2GH-04: Windsor Area - Little P 4. 2GH-05: R. Canard DIRECT: WEST L ERIE BASIN 2. 2GH-06: Lower Detroit River 6. 2GH-07: Cedar CrOxley - Seacliffe Beaches 9. 2GH-10: Pelee Island 10. 2GH-08: Sturgeon Cr Pt. Pelee | 49.26C-11: Nanticoke 49.26C-11: Nanticoke 50.26C-12: Sandusk Cr 50.26C-12: Sandusk Cr 51.26C-06: Iong Point 52.26C-01: Stoney Creek 53.26C-10: Gates-War 54: 26C-13: Welland Rin 54: 26C-04: Brock's Creek 29.26F-05: Tyconnel Beach 30.26F-06: Talbot Creek 41.26C-04: Brock's Creek 42.26C-03: Catfish Creek 43.26C-03: Catfish Creek 44.26C-04: Big Otter Creek 45.26C-05: S. Otter-Clear Creeks | creek eek ek Jell's -Evans Creeks /er |

on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health

| Land Use/Activity Category | Parameters Used | Thresholds |
|---|--|--|
| Agricultural-Crop | % of watershed area in vegetable or potato production in at least 1 of 4 years | > 13% |
| | % of watershed area in continuous corn, continuous sovhean or in a | > 27% |
| | corn souboon rotation over 4 years | ~ 2770 |
| | com-soybean rotation over 4 years | |
| Lake St. Clair Watersheds DIRECT: ST. CLAIR RIVER 1. 2GG-01: Upper St. Clair River 1. 2GG-02: Lower St. Clair Tribs 5. 2GH-01: Pike Cr Puce R. 7. 2GH-03: Belle River 8. 2GH-02: Ruscom River 1. 2GG-02: Lower N. Syndenham River 16. 2GG-04: Upper LSt. Clair Tribs 17. 2GG-07: Bear Creek 18. 2GG-05: East Syndenham River 16. 2GG-07: Bear Creek 18. 2GG-07: Bear Creek 18. 2GG-07: Bear Creek 19. 2GG-07: Bear Creek 10. 2GH-07: Cedar Cr-Oxley 10. 2GH-07: Cedar Cr-Oxley 10. 2GH-08: Sturgeon Cr Pt. Pelee | INDIRECT: LAKE ST. CLAIR UPPER THAMES RIVER WATERSHED 23. 25G 05: Oxbow Creek 33. 25G 05: Oxbow Creek 33. 25G 05: Oxbow Creek 33. 25G 05: Medway River 33. 25G 05: Normans River 33. 25G 05: Normans River 33. 25G 05: Wond River 33. 25G 05: Washing Creek 33. 25G 05: Washing Creek 34. 25G 05: Washing Creek 35. 25G 05: Wa | ersheds SSIN TERSHED River ad River r ver ATERSHED SSIN ek ek dek tiver IN dd River IN bung Crs. Creek teek dell's -Evans Creeks ver d Category |

Canada-Ontario Agreement

on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health



Canada



Watersheds in the Lake Erie Basin Categorized by Land Use/Activities



Projection: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N

Data provided through partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Environment and Climate Change Canada and Ontario Ministries of the Environment and Climate Change, Natural Resources and Forestry and Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs. The map shown is for reference purposes only and while every effort has been made for accuracy it is not guaranteed to be accurate, correct or complete. The Canadian and Ontario governments will accept no liability for consequential and indirect damages arising from the use of these maps

Canada-Ontario Agreement

on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health



Canada-Ontario Agreement

on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health



Canada-Ontario Agreement on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health

Conclusion

- A comprehensive assessment was conducted to identify the relevant data held collectively by participating COA government agencies
- With this characterization, may be able to emphasize particular types of actions in different watersheds
 - Note that in this process:
 - No management data was considered
 - No capacity for change (human/financial capacity/current plans) was assessed
- This is a basin-wide view of the nature of the Lake Erie watersheds. Determination of appropriate P reduction actions is done on a site by site basis.





Canada-Ontario Agreement

on Great Lakes Water Quality and Ecosystem Health

2014

Questions?



